Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/673,422	TAKECHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: after-final		
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lawrence Ashery</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 US 101		
Claims discussed: 9,10		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
9/13/06		
	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. Claims 9 and 10 contained subject matter that which is non-statutory in light of the applicant's specification. The examiner proposed language to overcome the non-statutory content and was authorized to make an examiner's amendment..